From:

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Sent:

6/25/2019 1:47:03 AM

To:

# Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject:

Re: RACISM and the VB/I 70 CAG

I agree with Kim that the whole approach of the EPA. Show some suggestion of racism, it seems that the attitude about Lowry is different that that their attitude about our area in the GDs area.

to deny that there is such a thing as institutional racism and therefore approach the different communities would be really a blind attitude, and I have notice an almost hostile attitude towards our demands in our area and our requesting some information on our request for support and the way that we've been handed when delisting. I think there is some institutional measure that are being applied that are racist

When I joined the group there was real hostile attitudes from certain individuals that I consider racist.

#### Get Outlook for Android

From: Sandra Erika Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Sent: Monday, June 24, 2019 6:40:53 PM

To Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Aviles, Jesse; Steve Wharton; Chergo, Jennifer; Fonda Apostolopoulos - CDPHE; Parish -

CDPHE, Meg; Oeth - CDPHE, Trisha; Ross, Andrew - DEH CE2294 Project Manager II; Jorge Merida

Subject: Re: RACISM and the VB/I 70 CAG

Hello,

I did not hear any accusations from Bridget about the EPA or anyone else being racist. I did felt a lot of tension but that's every meeting when EPA is there, and maybe is because things have not changed, and when I say that, is because we haven't come to and agreement on anything.

But I do want to mention the only part I didn't like, was Armando shouting and interrupting a lady, because I believe in respect, and mostly when is a woman. I don't care if she works for EPA, the city, a community member or any other person in the meeting. I did not like his reaction. I can understand part of his frustrations but is not the way to react or to disrespect someone, I repeat, mostly if she's a woman.

I don't know how can we start working all together to stop having this conflicts because we're not getting anywhere, we're stuck in the past. We need to really start going forward and fixing what needs to be fix.

I don't know who's the facilitator, but who ever it is, have to have a control in the meeting.

My humble opinion.

Thank you!

Sincerely,

Sandra Ruiz-Parrilla

720-620-3162

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Mon. Jun 24, 2019 at 5:47 PM, Bridget Walsh Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) wrote:

Dear Jesse,

There has been a misunderstanding.

At the June <u>CAG</u> meeting, I did not accuse anyone of being a racist.

This fact was confirmed in an email from the CAG Administrator Kim Morris.

We also have a complete recording of the meeting.

I am so sorry if you took my inquiries that way. I assume that English is not your first language and that you may miss some nuances of the language.

You emailed Kim Morse, the CAG Administrator:

"Hello Kim:

Last Tuesday Bridget call us racists. The facilitator will call out such accusations in the next meetings. If the accusations continue, the person will be asked to leave. If the person doesn't agree to leave, EPA will leave the meeting. Race does not restrict our dedication."

Jesse Aviles

Remedial Project Manager

Environmental Protection Agency

Kim's reply:

"Jesse.

Bridget may have implied that there were some racial disparities in how she perceives the different CAGs being supported by EPA Region 8. I didn't hear her call anyone at the meeting a racist. Just to make sure I didn't miss that word leaving her lips, I fact checked with another meeting attendee. They confirmed that they did not hear Bridget call anyone a racist.

It is difficult to ignore that there are differences in how, for example, the Lowry Landfill CAG is supported vs
how the VB/I-70 CAG is supported by the EPA and other local agencies, based on agendas, agency and elected
official attendance, audio/visual support for onsite and remote attendees, materials and information, website
support and conversations with people involved with both groups. We stand with our neighbors living within the
VB/I-70 neighborhoods and are working for equal resources, representation and protection that has been
withheld from them previously.
Daggarda

Regards, Kim"

# Difference between 'racist" and "racism"

There is a difference between accusing a *person* of being a racist and questioning whether institutional racism is preventing the Vasquez Blvd./ I-70 Superfund Site (VB/I-70) Community Advisory Group (CAG) from full access to agency services afforded to other CAGs in Colorado.

I was questioning the reasons behind the seeming unequal treatment of the VB/I-70 CAG that represents a CAG in an EPA Environmental Justice Site and CAGs that are not located in low income, minority areas.

### Race is Important: VB/I-70 is an EPA Environmental Justice Site

The VB/I-70 Site is an EPA Environmental Justice Site because there is a <u>predominance of low income</u>, *minority people* who live there.

# Unusual Treatment of VB/I-70 CAG by Agencies

<u>Chuck Norris</u>, one of the VB/I-70 CAG volunteer experts who has worked on many superfund projects as a technical expert and witness, shared his observations about the unusual treatment of the VB/I-70 CAG. Click here to read.

# CDPHE's Unequal Treatment of VB/I-70 CAG?

What could the reason be that the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) refuses to post information to its website for and about the VB/I-70 CAG, but posts for other CAGS?

CDPHE wrote to a CAG member that the reason that they do not post the VB/I-70 CAG information on their website as they do for other CAGs in Denver and Colorado is because they have determined the VB/I-70 CAG is not a "real" CAG because we do not have a Technical Assistance Grant(TAG).

CDPHE was dead wrong on this point.

Jesse, you were cc'd on this email but apparently did not correct the misinformation.

As you know, having a TAG does not define a CAG. A CAG may have a TAG or not.

The VB/I-70 CAG is fortunate, to have two excellent volunteer technical advisors, <u>Chuck Norris</u> and <u>Adrian Brown</u>, saving taxpayers the cost of paying for these expensive technical services.

### NO CDPHE Reply

Why did CDPHE not reply, with substance, to the <u>CAG request to withdraw</u> their <u>approval</u> of the EPA proposed delisting of OU1 (4500+ residences) at least until the source of the contamination in OU1 was determined?

Determining the source of contamination is the first step in any assessment of pollution and remediation.

EPA never determined the source of the <u>contamination in OU1</u> yet they and CDPHE are signing off on the EPA delisting of OU1.

The remediation in OU1 seems to have been based on just an unsubstantiated guess of the source of the pollution.

See Norris and Earthjustice comments on proposed delisting of OU1.

EPAs own documents state, clearly, in many places, that the source of the pollution in OU1 was <u>never determined by EPA</u> scientists.

Mr. Norris, on behalf of the CAG, also offered CDPHE his professional opinion regarding leachate leaking directly into the South Platte River from under the landfill in OU2, without a permit perhaps in violation of the US Clean Water Act.

To date we have no official reply to the CAG regarding this possible violation.

# Still Puzzled by CDPHE Actions

We are still puzzled as to why CDPHE continues to deny the legitimacy of the VB/I-70 CAG and its experts, and refuses to post VB/I-70 CAG business on its website as they do for other CAGs.

The question about racial or other discrimination against the VB/I-70 CAG seems reasonable in view of the fact that the VB/I-70 site is an EJ site and is denied access to the CDPHE web site, and Lowry Landfill CAG, for example, not an EJ site, has full access.

CDPHE is the <u>lead agency f</u>or both.

# **EPA Unequal Treatment of VB/I70-70 CAG?**

EPA also seems to offer <u>many services</u> to other CAGs that have <u>not been afforded</u> to this CAG.

This was especially recognizable since our technical advisor, Chuck Norris, <u>offered his presentation</u> to the CAG, EPA, CDPHE and Denver in July, 2018, questioning the source of the pollution in Operable Unit 1 (OU1), in the Site.

In August, 2018, EPA announced that they would no longer attend regular CAG meetings because, unbelievably, EPA said that they had nothing to talk about. It seems that EPA regularly attends other CAG meetings. For example see.

### No EPA Reply

In addition to the formal presentation by Mr. Norris, the CAG has offered the EPA ( and CDPHE)several Resolutions and has never received any written response: R1, R2, R3, R4, R5. See press release.

## **Avoiding Congressionally Mandated Participation**

The CAG informed the EPA, CDPHE and Denver that they had many unanswered questions and unfulfilled requests for documents.

The CAG <u>is mandated by congress to</u> be involved in advising EPA in a superfund site, as work is progressing.

EPA and CDPHE seem to be avoiding this kind of meaningful participation by the VB/I-70 CAG.

They deny the CAG access to meetings and documents until after decisions have been made and the horse is out of the barn.

## Denver Declares work in VBI/I- 70 over.

Denver Department of Environmental Health (DEH) also abruptly stopped posting our CAG information in November 2018 declaring that they were finished with their work in OU1 (controversial time critical removal) although the CAG had many unanswered questions.

For example: Denver is not finished digging under unremediated 39th Ave (OU1) or at the Globeville Landing Outfall in OU2. See correspondence from CAG technical expert, Adrian Brown.

These are just a few of the questions that Denver has refused to discuss with the CAG although our <u>Bylaws</u> give us license to be involved in every aspect of the VB/I-70 Site.

#### Please Retest OU1

After careful consideration of Mr. Norris' presentation, our CAG passed <u>a resolution</u> asking EPA to stop the delisting, retest the Site and honor the Environmental Justice needs of residents. The CAG asked CDPHE to rescind its letter No response in writing.

So, Jesse, i am not accusing anyone of being a racist. I just have a question: Why is the VB/I-70 CAg being treated differently than other CAGs in CO and Denver?

#### Warmest regards,

"Bridget" Eileen Walsh, Real Estate Broker DenverWelcomeHome.com,LLC www.DenverWelcomeHome.com Bridget@DenverWelcomeHome.com